

The Journal of Teachers Association

ISSN 1019-8555 (Print) & ISSN 2408-8854 (Online) Frequency: Bi-Annual DOI: https://doi.org/10.62469/taj.v037i02.022



p53 Expression and its Association with Histological Grade of Gastric Adenocarcinoma

Mst. Mahmuda Khatun^{1*}, S.M. Asafudullah¹, Khadiza Khanam¹, Sadia Refat³, Swapna Majumder¹

- ¹Department of Pathology, Rajshahi Medical College
- ² Department of Pathology, Barind Medical College
- ⁴ Department of Pathology, Dhaka Medical College

Abstract: Background& Objective: Gastric cancer is known as one of the most common cancers and cause of death. Early and accurate diagnosis is an important tool for proper treatment response. Mutation of the p53 tumor suppressor gene is the most frequent genetic alteration observed in human cancers. The aim of this study was to evaluate the expression of p53 in gastric adenocarcinoma and its association with histological grade. Methods & Materials: This cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Pathology, Rajshahi Medical College, from September 2019 to August 2021. A total of 50 gastrectomy samples with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of gastric adenocarcinoma were included in this study. Expression of p53 was evaluated in formalin-fixed and paraffin embedded specimens by immunohistochemistry. Result: p53 expression was observed in all cases among which 31 (62%) patients showed low and 19 (38%) patients showed high p53 expression. Level of p53 expression was found significantly associated with histological grade. But, the p53 expression levels was not associated with the age of the patients with gastric adenocarcinoma (p>0.05). Conclusion: The expression of p53 involved in the progression and differentiation of gastric adenocarcinoma. These expression levels can be utilized as indicators of biological behavior and prognosis of gastric adenocarcinoma.

Keywords: Gastric adenocarcinoma, p53 expression, Histological grade.

Article at a glance:

(†)

CC

Study Purpose: To evaluate the immunoexpression of p53 in gastric adenocarcinoma and its association with histological grade.

Key findings: There was significant association between p53 expression with tumor grade and lymph node involvement.

Newer findings: High p53 expression in gastric adenocarcinoma suggesting aggressive behavior.

Abbreviations: IHC – Immunohistochemistry, p53 – Tumor Protein 53, H&E – Hematoxylin and Eosin, TNM – Tumor, Node, Metastasis (staging system), IRS – Immunoreactive Score.

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer is one of the most common cancers worldwide, being the 5th most commonly diagnosed cancer. More than 90% of gastric cancers are adenocarcinomas.^{1,} The survival is generally poor for stomach cancers. Absence of screening program and less awareness regarding gastric cancer might have lead to delayed medical consultation for gastric carcinoma in Bangladesh. However, in India, the 5 years survival is dismal and is less than 10%.² Tumor cell kinetics currently attract attention because it is thought that these reflect tumor aggressiveness. A correlation between proliferative activity and poor prognosis has been indicated in numerous human malignancies.³ Accumulation of genetic alterations plays a role in cancer progression and development of human cancers is a multistep process. Cellular proliferation follows an organized and timely regulated progression through the cell cycle, which is regulated by cell-cycle regulators, such as p53. ⁴ p53 was first classified as an oncogene, encoded by

Peer Review Process: The Journal "The Journal of Teachers Association" abides by a double-blind peer review process such that the journal does not disclose the identity of the reviewer(s) to the author(s) and does not disclose the identity of the reviewer(s).

Original Research Article

*Correspondence:

Dr. Mst. Mahmuda Khatun Lecturer, Department of Pathology, Rajshahi Medical College E-mail: miturmc47@gmail.com

How to cite this article:

Khatun M, Asafudullah SM, Khanam K, Refat S, Majumder S; p53 Expression and its Association with Histological Grade of Gastric Adenocarcinoma. Taj 2024;37 (2): 168-174.

Article history:

Received: August 17, 2024 Revised: October 19, 2024 Accepted: November 12, 2024 Published: December 01, 2024 the *TP53* gene, suppresses growth and oncogenic transformation in cell culture, that inactivating *TP53* mutations are common in human tumors, and in many cancers linked to poor patient prognosis. ⁵

The evidence accumulated to date suggests that the most frequent genetic abnormality in human cancer may be a mutation of p53, and it is known that such a mutation plays a significant role in the carcinogenesis of colonic carcinoma and gastric carcinoma.6 Level of p53 expression was found significantly associated with age, tumor site, tumor size, histological grade, T stage, M stage and Clinical stage. They concluded expression of p53 correlates with the survival and is a simple, effective and reproducible modality to determine the prognosis and survival in various grades & stages of gastric cancer.7 The key role of mutation of some tumor suppressor genes such as p53 in the incidence of gastric cancers is considered and the relationship between its immunoexpression with pathological indicators of tumors can be determined. So, this study evaluate expression of p53 in gastric adenocarcinoma and its relationship with different histological grades regarding the utility of p53 immunoexpression in determining the prognosis of gastric adenocarcinoma.

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in the department of pathology, Rajshahi medical college over a period of two years from September 2019 to August 2021. A total of 50 gastrectomy samples with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of gastric adenocarcinoma were included in this study. Expression of p53 was evaluated in formalin-fixed and paraffin embedded specimens by immunohistochemistry. p53 expression was categorized as low and high expression. Specimen of tissue was fixed with 10% formalin and stained with haematoxyline and eosin stain was examined. For immunohistochemistry, 3-4 micrometer thick sections of formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues with а MIB-1 monoclonal antibody in appropriate dilutions was used. Standard immunohistochemical method was applied for subsequent staining. Data were analyzed by using windows software SPSS version 23.

The result of p53 protein immunohistochemistry was quantified as scores, according to the following method. First, percentages of the total number of p53 positive cells was assigned to one of four categories. Second, the intensity of p53 protein immunostaining was scored.⁷

IMMUNOREACTION SCORE (IRS)								
Percentage of p53 positive cells	Score	Staining intensity	Score					
≤10%	1	Negative	0					
11-49%	2	Weak	1					
50-79%	3	Moderate	2					
≥ 80%	4	Strong	3					
IRS score = Percentag	ge of p53 positive	cells × Staining intensity						
Total score	e= 0 to 12{≤6= low	and $>6=$ high $}$						

RESULTS

METHODS

A total of 50 cases diagnosed with gastric adenocarcinoma were subjected to immunohistochemical examination of p53. Out of 50 patients, p53 was highly expressed in 19 (38%) patients. On the other hand 31 (62%) patients had low expression of p53 (Table-I). Table II depicts that, the p53 expression levels was not associated with the age of the patients with gastric adenocarcinoma (p>0.05). Though the proportion with high level of p53 expression was higher among male (40.6%) compared to female patients (33.3%), the difference failed to reach statistical significance (p=0.610) (Table – III). Table IV depicts that, high p53 expression was the highest in poorly differentiated tumor and in the entire well differentiated tumor had low expression for p53. The association between tumor differentiation and level of p53 expression was highly significant statistically (p=0.003). Table V depicts that, proportion of high p53 expression was significantly higher in high grade tumor than the low-grade tumor (56.7% versus 10%, p=0.001). Table VI depicts that, though the proportion of high p53

© 2024 TAJ | Published by: Teachers Association of Rajshahi Medical College

expression was gradually increased as the tumor invasion level increased (0%, 33.3%, and 41.0% respectively in T1, T2, and T3) but the differences were not statistically significant (p=0.482). Table VI depicts that, though the proportion of high p53 expression was gradually increased as the tumor invasion level increased (0%, 33.3%, and 41.0% respectively in T1, T2, and T3) but the differences were not statistically significant (p=0.482).

p53 expression	Frequency		Percent	
Low	31	62		
High	19	38		
Table	2: Association between	age and p53 expression l	evel (n=50)	
	р53 е	expression		
Age (years) I	Low	High	p value	
30-39 4	4 (100.0)	0 (0)	-	
40-49 4	4 (57.1)	3 (42.9)		
50-59	13 (48.1)	14 (51.9)		
60-39	10 (83.3)	2 (16.7)	0.078*	
Mean ± SD 5	53.81±9.66	53.79±5.72	0.9941	
square test; independ	ent sample t test.			
Table 3: A	Association between sex	of the patient and p53 ex	xpression level (n=50)	
Cau	p53 expression	TT: 1	a seelees	
Sex		High	p value	
Male	19 (59.4)	13 (40.6)	0.610*	
Female	12 (66.7)	6 (33.3)		
Table 4: Associatio	on between type of tumo	or differentiation status a	nd p53 expression level	
Table 4: Associatio	on between type of tumo	or differentiation status a p53 expression	nd p53 expression level	
Table 4: Associatio Tumor type	on between type of tume Low	o <mark>r differentiation status a</mark> p53 expression High	nd p53 expression level p value	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated	Low 13 (43.3)	or differentiation status a p53 expression High 17 (56.7)	nd p53 expression level p value	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated	Low Low 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8)	pr differentiation status a p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2)	nd p53 expression level p value	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately different Well differentiated	Low Low 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0)	p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0)	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003*	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated	Low Low 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in	pt differentiation status a p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test.	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003*	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately different Well differentiated	Low Low 1 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in	p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test.	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003*	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated Table 5: Association Fumor grade	Low Low 1 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in iation between type of t	p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test. umor grade and p53 expr	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003* ression level (n=50)	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated Table 5: Association Fumor grade	Low Low 1 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in iation between type of t p53 expressio	or differentiation status a p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test. n m High	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003* ression level (n=50) p value	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated Table 5: Association Tumor grade	Low Low 1 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in iation between type of t p53 expressio Low 18 (90.0)	or differentiation status a p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test. numor grade and p53 expro- m High 2 (10.0)	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003* ression level (n=50) p value	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated Table 5: Assoc Fumor grade Low grade High grade	Low Low 1 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in iation between type of t p53 expressio Low 18 (90.0) 13 (43.3)	p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test. numor grade and p53 expr m High 2 (10.0) 17 (56.7)	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003* ression level (n=50) p value 0.001*	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated Table 5: Association Fumor grade Low grade High grade	Low 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in iation between type of t p53 expression Low 18 (90.0) 13 (43.3)	or differentiation status a p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test. numor grade and p53 expr m High 2 (10.0) 17 (56.7) square test.	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003* ression level (n=50) p value 0.001*	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated Table 5: Association Fumor grade Low grade High grade	Low Low 1 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in iation between type of t p53 expression Low 18 (90.0) 13 (43.3) *Chi-s	p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test. n High 2 (10.0) 17 (56.7) square test.	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003* ression level (n=50) p value 0.001*	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated Table 5: Association Tumor grade Low grade High grade Table 6: Association	Low Low 1 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in tiation between type of t p53 expression Low 18 (90.0) 13 (43.3) *Chi-section between type of	or differentiation status a p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test. numor grade and p53 expre- m High 2 (10.0) 17 (56.7) square test. tumor size and p53 expre-	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003* ession level (n=50) p value 0.001*	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated Table 5: Association Tumor grade Low grade High grade Table 6: Association	Low Low 1 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in iation between type of t p53 expression Low 18 (90.0) 13 (43.3) *Chi-s chi-section between type of p53 expression	or differentiation status a p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test. n High 2 (10.0) 17 (56.7) square test. tumor size and p53 expre- on	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003* cession level (n=50) p value 0.001*	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated Table 5: Association Table 5: Association Fumor grade Low grade High grade Table 6: Association Fumor size	Low Low 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in iation between type of t p53 expressio Low 18 (90.0) 13 (43.3) *Chi-s tiation between type of p53 expressio Low	pr differentiation status a p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test. umor grade and p53 expre- m High 2 (10.0) 17 (56.7) square test. tumor size and p53 expre- m High	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003* ression level (n=50) p value 0.001* ression level (n=50). p value	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated Table 5: Association Table 5: Association Fumor grade Low grade High grade Table 6: Association Fumor size Fumor size	Low Low 1 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in iation between type of t p53 expressio Low 18 (90.0) 13 (43.3) *Chi-s Etation between type of p53 expressio Low 2 (100.0)	or differentiation status a p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test. numor grade and p53 expre- on High 2 (10.0) 17 (56.7) square test. tumor size and p53 expre- on High 0 (0)	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003* eession level (n=50) p value 0.001* ession level (n=50). p value	
Table 4: Association Tumor type Poorly differentiated Moderately differentiated Well differentiated Table 5: Association Table 5: Association Tumor grade Low grade High grade Table 6: Association Fumor size F1 F2	Low 13 (43.3) tiated 9 (81.8) 9 (100.0) *Chi-square test; in iation between type of t p53 expression Low 18 (90.0) 13 (43.3) *Chi-station between type of t p53 expression Low 13 (43.3) *Chi-station between type of p53 expression Low 2 (100.0) 6 (66.7) 6 (66.7)	or differentiation status a p53 expression High 17 (56.7) 2 (18.2) 0 (0) dependent sample t test. umor grade and p53 expre- m High 2 (10.0) 17 (56.7) square test. tumor size and p53 expre- m High 0 (0) 3 (33.3)	nd p53 expression level p value 0.003* eession level (n=50) p value 0.001* ession level (n=50). p value	

Mst. Mahmuda Khatun <i>et al</i> ; The Journal of Teachers Association, Jul-Dec, 2024; 37(2): 168-							
Table 7: Ass	ociation between nodal	status and p53 expressio	n level (n=50)				
	p53 expression						
Nodal status	Low	High	p value				
NX	16 (76.2)	5 (23.8)					
N1	8 (80.0)	2 (20.0)					
N2	0 (0)	12 (100.0)					
N3	7 (100.0)	0 (0)	<0.001*				

*Chi-square test.



Figure 1: Photomicrograph showing low expression of p53 in well differentiated (low grade) intestinal type gastric adenocarcinoma. (immunostain of p53×100)



Figure 2: Photomicrograph showing low expression of p53 in moderately differentiated intestinal type gastric adenocarcinoma. (immunostain of p53×400)



Figure 3: Photomicrograph showing high expression of p53 in poorly differentiated gastric adenocarcinoma (immunostain of p53×100)

DISCUSSION

Gastric cancer is a leading cancer in Bangladesh like that of the global incidences. Approximately 84% of gastric cancer patients present with advanced disease and their median survival time is only 3-4 months if they are not treated with chemotherapy.8 Therefore, it is necessary to diagnose at an early stage in order to improve the survival rate. According to the study from India conducted by Sankalecha et al,7 p53 expression was in 90% of gastric cancer patients among which 37 (63.8%) patients showed high and 21 (36.2%) patients showed low p53 expression. In the present study, p53 expression was observed in 100% of the gastric adenocarcinoma among which high expression was observed in only 38% of the cases. The result of the current study was lower than most of the earlier studies. However, similar low rate of high expression of p53 in gastric adenocarcinoma was reported by few other studies.9-11 The discrepancy in p53 expression in different studies might be due to the type and concentration of antibody that had been used, differences in the detection system, and differences in the method of p53 scoring, or it may be due to genetic or environmental factors. A study by Jung et al., ¹² reported an exceptionally high proportion of p53 in 90.3% of their patients with gastric cancer as only its expression was considered positive in the study.

In the present study the p53 expression levels was not associated with the age of the patients with gastric adenocarcinoma (p>0.05).

Another study by Al –Moundhri et al.,¹³ p53 over expression was 63.8% in patients with age less than 60 years but in the patients with age more than 60 years it was 44.4%, and the difference was statistically significant (p=0.03). In the current study no significant association was found between sex and high p53 expression. It was in accordance with other study findings where no significant association was found between p53 expression and sex. 9,14 In previous studies, the incidence of p53 abnormalities varied on the basis of the histological type of cancer. In the current study, a higher percentage of p53 expression for diffuse-type, rather than intestinal type lesions, but the difference was not significant (47.3 versus 32.3%, p=0.285). Similar non-significant higher positivity of p53 in gastric carcinoma was observed by Calik et al.,10 Al-Moundhri et al.,13 and Ahadi et al.,11 who did not observe a significant association between p53 expression and Lauren's classification, grade of tumor, or location of the tumor.¹⁵

One of the important parameter to assess prognosis in gastric cancer is histopathologic grade of tumor. As grade increases prognosis become poorer. In the present study, p53 over expression was the highest in poorly differentiated tumor and low in well differentiation and level of p53 expression was statistically highly significant (p=0.003). Regarding tumor grade proportion of high p53 expression was significantly higher in high grade tumor than the low grade tumor (56.7%versus10%, p=0.001). Similar results were seen in the study by Sankalecha et al.,⁷ while in study done by Akshatha et al.,16 showed no correlation with histological grade. However, the proportion of high p53 expression was gradually increased as the tumor size increased (0%, 33.3%, and 41.0% respectively in T1, T2, and T3) but the differences were not statistically significant (p=0.482). Disagreement with the present study, Al-Moundhri et al.,¹³ did not observed any significant association between p53 expression with tumor grade and stage. However, the present study findings were more or less in agreement with the findings of the studies conducted on Indian populations.^{7,17} In these studies, expression of p53 was associated with the histologic grade of the tumor. In the study by Sankalecha et al.,7 p53 expression was found significantly associated with age, tumor site, tumor size, histological grade and stage, where as it was not associated with gender, nodal involvement, Lauren classification and histopathological type of tumor.¹⁵

In the present study, p53 over expression was also higher in patients with positive lymph node than the patients with negative lymph node (48.3% and 13.8%) but the difference was not significant statistically (p=0.079). Kakeji et al.,¹⁸ reported that gastric cancer with p53 over expression has a high potential for metastasizing to lymph nodes. Similarly, Calik et al.,¹⁰ found a significant association between overexpression of p53 and lymph node metastases and a significant relationship between over expression of p53 and depth of tumor invasion. Thus, it is not surprising to find that p53 over expression suggesting aggressive behavior.

CONCLUSION

There was no significant association between p53 expression and demographic parameters while the expression of p53 is significantly associated with grade and differentiation of gastric adenocarcinoma. So, immunohistochemical expression of p53 can be utilized as indicator of biological behavior and prognosis of gastric adenocarcinoma.

Acknowledgment

We express profound gratitude to all the stuffs in histopathology and immunohistochemistry laboratory, department of pathology, Rajshahi medical college and Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Dhaka for their general support.

Authors' contribution

Dr. Sadia Refat Wahid & Dr. Swapna Majumder carried out the data aquisition. The concept, study design, write-up, and statistical analysis were done by Dr. Mst. Mahmuda Khatun. Dr. S.M. Asafudullah and Dr. Khadiza Khanam provided constant supervision, critical feedback and helped to shape the manuscript.

Declaration

Funding

No fund or grant was received from any institution or company concerning any component of the surgery or the research.

Conflict of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval of this study was obtained from Ethical Review Committee, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi. All the study methodology was carried out following the relevant ethical guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication: Taken.

REFERENCES

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2021 May;71(3):209-49.
- Barad AK, Mandal SK, Harsha HS, Sharma BM, Singh TS. Gastric cancer – a clinicopathological study in a tertiary care centre of North-eastern India. Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology. 2014 Apr;5(2):142.
- 3. Tubiana M, Pejovic MH, Koscielny S, Chavaudra N, Malaise E. Growth rate, kinetics of tumor cell proliferation and long-term outcome in human breast cancer. International journal of cancer. 1989 Jul 15;44(1):17-22.
- 4. Kronja I, Orr-Weaver TL. Translational regulation of the cell cycle: when, where, how and why?. Philosophical Transactions of the

Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2011 Dec 27;366(1584):3638-52.

- 5. Kastenhuber ER, Lowe SW. Putting p53 in context. Cell. 2017 Sep 7;170(6):1062-78.
- Zheng Y, Wang L, Zhang JP, Yang JY, Zhao ZM, Zhang XY. Expression of p53, c-erbB-2 and Ki67 in intestinal metaplasia and gastric carcinoma. World journal of gastroenterology: WJG. 2010 Jan 1;16(3):339.
- hiralal Sankalecha T, Gupta SJ, Gaikwad NR, Ughade SN. Correlation of P53 expression with various clinicopathological parameters of gastric carcinoma and its relationship with survival. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Research. 2017 Jun 21;6(3):2370-5.
- 8. Yaprak G, Tataroglu D, Dogan B, Pekyurek M. Prognostic factors for survival in patients with gastric cancer: Single-centre experience. Northern clinics of Istanbul. 2020 Apr 1;7(2).
- Sanaat Z, Halimi M, Ghojezadeh M, Pirovi AH, Gharamaleki JV, Ziae AE, Kermani IA. Immunohistochemical analysis of p53, Ki-67, CD44, HER-2/neu expression patterns in gastric cancer, and their association with one year survival in north-west of Iran. International journal of hematology-oncology and stem cell research. 2013;7(3):15.
- Calik M, Demirci E, Altun E, Calik I, Gündoğdu ÖB, Gürsan N, Gündoğdu B, Albayrak M. Clinicopathological importance of Ki-67, p27, and p53 expression in gastric cancer. Turkish journal of medical sciences. 2015;45(1):118-28.
- 11. Ahadi M, Moradi A, Musavinejad L, Movafagh A, Moradi A. The expression of p53, CD44, Ki-67, and HER-2/neu markers in gastric cancer and its association with histopathological indicators: A retrospective study. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP. 2020 Jun;21(6):1607.
- 12. Jung SU, Park KK, Yang SI, Jang HK, Shin YM. Clinicopathological correlations with p53 expression in gastric cancer patients with

curative resection. Korean Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2014 Jun 30;10(1):12-7.

- 13. Al-Moundhri MS, Nirmala V, Al-Hadabi I, Al-Mawaly K, Burney I, Al-Nabhani M, Thomas V, Ganguly SS, Grant C. The prognostic significance of p53, p27kip1, p21waf1, HER-2/neu, and Ki67 proteins expression in gastric cancer: A clinicopathological and immunohistochemical study of 121 Arab patients. Journal of surgical oncology. 2005 Sep 15;91(4):243-52.
- 14. Petersson F, Borch K, Franzén LE. Gastric epithelial proliferation and p53 and p21 expression in a general population sample: relations to age, sex, and mucosal changes associated with H. pylori infection. Digestive diseases and sciences. 2002 Jul;47:1558-66.
- Lauren P. The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma: diffuse and so-called intestinal-type carcinoma: an attempt at a histoclinical classification. Acta Pathologica Microbiologica Scandinavica. 1965 Sep;64(1):31-49.
- 16. Akshatha C, Mysorekar V, Arundhathi S, Arul P, Raj A, Shetty S. Correlation of p53 overexpression with the clinicopathological prognostic factors in colorectal adenocarcinoma. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR. 2016 Dec;10(12):EC05.
- Padma S, Rathore RD, Odapally SK, Parika SK, Putcha UK. Study Of Expression And Association Of Hsp90, Her2, P 53and Ki-67 In Gastric And Colorectal Neoplasms In The Indian Context. European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine. 2020 Dec 30;7(10):2020.
- Kakeji Y, Korenaga D, Tsujitani S, Baba H, Anai H, Maehara Y, Sugimachi K. Gastric cancer with p53 overexpression has high potential for metastasising to lymph nodes. British journal of cancer. 1993 Mar;67(3):589-93.

The Journal of Teachers Association Abbreviated Key Title: TAJ Official Journal of Teachers Association Rajshahi Medical College



Publish your next article in TAJ For submission scan the QR code E-mail submission to: tajrmc8555@gmail.com